Dear Editor,

We report the results of cloning, expression, subcellular localization analysis, and molecular properties of the Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) BFRF3 gene, using several bioinformatics tools. Bioinformatics analysis indicated that the encoding protein of EBV BFRF3 gene (designated BFRF3) has a conserved Herpes capsid domain, which was found to be closely related to the gammaherpesvirus capsid protein family, and is highly conserved among its counterparts. Multiple nucleic acid sequence and amino acid (aa) sequence alignments suggested that BFRF3 has a relatively higher homology with BFRF3-like proteins of the genus Lymphocryptovirus than with that of other genera of Gammaherpesvirinae.

In addition, phylogenetic analysis showed that BFRF3 has a close evolutionary relationship with members of Gammaherpesvirinae, especially members of the genus Lymphocryptovirus of Macacine herpesvirus 4. Antigen prediction demonstrated that there are several potential B-cell epitopes located in BFRF3. Furthermore, secondary structure prediction revealed that BFRF3 consists predominantly of α-helices. EBV, the causative agent of infectious mononucleosis, has a global distribution in the human population as a life-long and largely asymptomatic infection. EBV is reported to be associated with various malignancies, including epithelial tumor, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, and a subset of T-cell and natural killer cell lymphomas.


EBV assembles icosahedral capsid structures in the nuclei of infected cells. Six proteins are required for the assembly of these structures, including BcLF1, BORF1, BDLF1, BdRF1, BVRF2, and BFRF3. Although the small capsid protein BFRF3 is required for the stable capsid assembly structure (Henson B W, et al., 2009), the specific functions of the BFRF3 gene and its protein product BFRF3 are less understood.

To obtain the BFRF3 gene, we performed PCR using purified BAC DNA of the EBV Akata strain as the template and primers BFRF3-F (5′-TTGAATTC CATGGCACGCCGGCTGCCCAAG) and BFRF3-R (5′-AAGGATCC CTACTGTTTCTTACGTCCCC), where the restriction enzyme sites EcoRI and BamHI are underlined. The BFRF3 fragment (531 bp) was then cloned into pEYFP-C1 (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) to yield pEYFP-BFRF3, which was verified by restriction digestion analysis, PCR amplification, and DNA sequencing. After sequence confirmation, the recombinant plasmid pEYFP-BFRF3 was transfected into HEK293T cells, and western blotting using a monoclonal antibody against YFP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) to yield pEYFP-BFRF3, which was verified by restriction digestion analysis, PCR amplification, and DNA sequencing. After sequence confirmation, the recombinant plasmid pEYFP-BFRF3 was transfected into HEK293T cells, and western blotting using a monoclonal antibody against YFP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) to yield pEYFP-BFRF3, which was verified by restriction digestion analysis, PCR amplification, and DNA sequencing. After sequence confirmation, the recombinant plasmid pEYFP-BFRF3 was transfected into HEK293T cells, and western blotting using a monoclonal antibody against YFP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) to yield pEYFP-BFRF3, which was verified by restriction digestion analysis, PCR amplification, and DNA sequencing. After sequence confirmation, the recombinant plasmid pEYFP-BFRF3 was transfected into HEK293T cells, and western blotting using a monoclonal antibody against YFP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) to yield pEYFP-BFRF3, which was verified by restriction digestion analysis, PCR amplification, and DNA sequencing. After sequence confirmation, the recombinant plasmid pEYFP-BFRF3 was transfected into HEK293T cells, and western blotting using a monoclonal antibody against YFP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) to yield pEYFP-BFRF3, which was verified by restriction digestion analysis, PCR amplification, and DNA sequencing. After sequence confirmation, the recombinant plasmid pEYFP-BFRF3 was transfected into HEK293T cells, and western blotting using a monoclonal antibody against YFP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) to yield pEYFP-BFRF3, which was verified by restriction digestion analysis, PCR amplification, and DNA sequencing. After sequence confirmation, the recombinant plasmid pEYFP-BFRF3 was transfected into HEK293T cells, and western blotting using a monoclonal antibody against YFP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) to yield pEYFP-BFRF3, which was verified by restriction digestion analysis, PCR amplification, and DNA sequencing. After sequence confirmation, the recombinant plasmid pEYFP-BFRF3 was transfected into HEK293T cells, and western blotting using a monoclonal antibody against YFP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) to yield pEYFP-BFRF3, which was verified by restriction digestion analysis, PCR amplification, and DNA sequencing. After sequence confirmation, the recombinant plasmid pEYFP-BFRF3 was transfected into HEK293T cells, and western blotting using a monoclonal antibody against YFP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) to yield pEYFP-BFRF3, which was verified by restriction digestion analysis, PCR amplification, and DNA sequencing. After sequence confirmation, the recombinant plasmid pEYFP-BFRF3 was transfected into HEK293T cells, and western blotting using a monoclonal antibody against YFP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) to yield pEYFP-BFRF3, which was verified by restriction digestion analysis, PCR amplification, and DNA sequencing. After sequence confirmation, the recombinant plasmid pEYFP-BFRF3 was transfected into HEK293T cells, and western blotting using a monoclonal antibody against YFP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) to yield pEYFP-BFRF3, which was verified by restriction digestion analysis, PCR amplification, and DNA sequencing. After sequence confirmation, the recombinant plasmid pEYFP-BFRF3 was transfected into HEK293T cells, and western blotting using a monoclonal antibody against YFP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) to yield pEYFP-BFRF3, which was verified by restriction digestion analysis, PCR amplification, and DNA sequencing.

Nucleotide sequence similarity search using NCBI BLASTN yielded five nucleotide sequences with strong similarity to the EBV Akata strain BFRF3.

Mingsheng Cai1,2, Zhiyao Zhao1, Wei Cui1, Lin Yang1, Junyi Zhu1, Yalan Chen1, Changling Ma1, Zhuqing Yuan1 and Meili Li1∗

1. Department of Pathogenic Biology and Immunology, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou 510182, China; 2. Department of Veterinary Medicine, Foshan Science and Technology University, Foshan 528231, China

Corresponding author.
Phone/Fax: +86-20-81340200, Email: meili_2011@hotmail.com

© Wuhan Institute of Virology, CAS and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2013
An aa sequence similarity search using NCBI BLASTP yielded five aa sequences that strongly matched the target sequence of EBV Akata BFRF3 (99.4%, 100%, 100%, 100%, and 100%, respectively), and these corresponded to the BFRF3 protein of the EBV B95-8, AG876, Mutu, HKNPC1, and GD1 strains, respectively. These results clearly demonstrated that the Akata strain is the most closely related strain to GD1, which is consistent with a previous report (Lin Z, et al., 2013); the results also revealed close relationships among the studied EBV strains. In addition, multiple sequence alignments of BFRF3 with its homologs in 10 reference gammaherpesviruses showed relatively high homology of 38.6 to 70% between BFRF3 and its Callitrichine herpesvirus 3 (CalHV-3) and McHV-4 counterparts. However, BFRF3 shared no substantial homology with BFRF3-like proteins from Ovine herpesvirus 2 (OvHV-2) or HHV-8, with values of only 19.9% and 22.9%, respectively. Therefore, BFRF3 has high homology with Lymphocryptovirus but not with Rhadinovirus or Macavirus.

Analysis with Clustal X (version 1.8) was used to compare multiple sequence alignments of the EBV Akata strain BFRF3 with its 15 homologs and the result demonstrated that the most conserved region was the N-terminus of 16 BFRF3-like proteins (Fig. 1A). In agreement with this, conserved domain analysis indicated that BFRF3 contained an apparent conserved domain of HerpesCapsid (Fig. 1B), which is a member of the gammaherpesvirus capsid protein family and is located in the N-terminal of BFRF3. Accordingly, BFRF3 may belong to the HerpesCapsid superfamily, and the N-terminus of BFRF3 might be important for achieving its functions.

Phylogenetic analyses of EBV and other gammaherpesviruses were performed based on the sequences of BFRF3 and the BFRF3-like proteins of 15 reference gammaherpesviruses (Table 1). The proteins were preliminarily separated into different genera, namely Lymphocryptovirus, Rhadinovirus, and Macavirus, consistent with the existing classification of the subfamily Gammaherpesvirinae (Fig. 1C). Furthermore, the EBV Akata, B95-8, AG876, Mutu, HKNPC1, and GD1 strains were found to be different from other gammaherpesviruses. They clustered together, forming a separate branch, and also clustered with members of the genus Lymphocryptovirus, such as McHV-4 and CalHV-3. Subsequently, they clustered with other members of the genera Rhadinovirus and Macavirus (Fig. 1C). Therefore, EBV might have a closer evolutionary relationship to members of genus Lymphocryptovirus than to members of other genera of Gammaherpesvirinae.

Signal polypeptide prediction indicated no signal polypeptide cleavage site or potential transmembrane domain in BFRF3. Furthermore, N-linked glycosylation site (Asn-X-Ser/Thr) prediction did not identify an N-glycosylation site in BFRF3, confirming the absence of a signal peptide in BFRF3, and BFRF3 may be a real capsid protein but not a glycoprotein (Henson B W, et al., 2009). Interestingly, nine potential phosphorylation sites were found in BFRF3: one tyrosine, one threonine, and seven serine residues. It is well known that tyrosine phosphorylation is involved in the modification of protein translocation from the cytoplasm to the nucleus during the course of productive viral infection, as well as during the replication of several herpesviruses. It has been reported that phosphorylation occurs on both the serine and threonine residues of HSV-1 UL35, which are associated with the morphology of HSV-1. Thus, BFRF3 phosphorylation may also play an important role during EBV infection, perhaps by modulating its proper localization, stable capsid structure assembly, or other uncharacterized functions, such as a potential regulatory role in the affinity of BFRF3 for DNA (McNabb D S, et al., 1992).

Hydroplobicity analysis revealed three hydrophobic regions located at aa 45 to 56, 84 to 91, and 158 to 106. Compared with the hydrophobic region, the proportionality of the hydrophilic region was nearly in conformity with the hydrophobic region, which might represent the internal and surface regions of the protein. In addition, analysis of a potential B-cell epitope determinant demonstrated several potential B-cell epitopes in BFRF3, situated in or adjacent to aa 0 to 24, 26 to 48, 65 to 83, 139 to 146, and 155 to 176, which might yield methods for developing new antibodies and immunoassays for application in the clinical diagnosis of EBV. Secondary structure analysis suggested that BFRF3 primarily consisted of α-helices (59.1%).
Fig. 1. Multiple amino acid (aa) sequence alignments, conserved domain analysis, and phylogenetic relationships of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) BFRF3 with other BFRF3-like proteins from 15 reference species. A. Multiple aa sequence alignments of EBV BFRF3 with its homologous proteins from 15 selected species (Table 1) using Clustal X (version 1.8) and Bioedit 7.0. Gaps were introduced by the alignment program to maximize the homology. “●” indicates positions that have a single, fully conserved residue between the 16 BFRF3-like proteins. “▼” indicates conservation of strong groups, “■” indicates conservation of weak groups. Viruses with a box indicate different strains from the same virus EBV. B. Conserved domain analysis of EBV BFRF3 using the NCBI Conserved Domains search tool. C. Phylogenetic relationships of the putative EBV BFRF3 protein with other BFRF3-like proteins from 15 Gammaherpesviruses (Table 1). The phylogenetic tree of these proteins was generated using the Megalign program in LASERGENE (DNAStar version 7.0) with the Clustal V method, and sequence distance was calculated using the structural weight matrix. Gaps were introduced by the alignment program to maximize the homology.
Table 1. Abbreviations and GenBank accession numbers for 16 BFRF3-like proteins from different species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-family</th>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>Virus name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Natural host</th>
<th>GenBank accession number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lymphocryptovirus</strong></td>
<td>Human herpesvirus 4 (HHV-4); Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)</td>
<td>Akata GD1 HKNPC1 Mutu AG876 B95-8</td>
<td>Homo sapiens (Human)</td>
<td>AFY97839 AAY41101 AFJ06843 AFY97924 ABB89228 CAD53401</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lymphocryptovirus</strong></td>
<td>Macacine herpesvirus 4 (MeHV-4); Rhesus lymphocryptovirus (LCV)</td>
<td>LCL8664</td>
<td>Macaca mulatta (Rhesus monkey)</td>
<td>YP_067949</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lymphocryptovirus</strong></td>
<td>Callitrichine herpesvirus 3 (CaHV-3); marmoset lymphocryptovirus (MLCV)</td>
<td>CJ0149</td>
<td>Callithrix jacchus (Common marmoset)</td>
<td>NP_733913</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rhadinovirus</strong></td>
<td>Bovine herpesvirus 4 (BoHV-4)</td>
<td>V.test</td>
<td>Bos taurus (Domestic cow)</td>
<td>AEL29809</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rhadinovirus</strong></td>
<td>Macacine herpesvirus 5 (MeHV-5); Rhesus rhadinovirus (RRV)</td>
<td>17577</td>
<td>M. mulatta</td>
<td>NP_570813</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gamma herpesvirinae</strong></td>
<td>Rhadinovirus</td>
<td>Macaca fuscata rhadinovirus (MFRV)</td>
<td>Macaca fuscata (Japanese monkey)</td>
<td>AAT00110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rhadinovirus</strong></td>
<td>Saimirine herpesvirus 2 (SaHV-2); herpesvirus saimiri (HVS)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Saimiri sciureus (Squirrel monkey)</td>
<td>NP_040267</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rhadinovirus</strong></td>
<td>Human herpesvirus 8 (HHV-8); Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV)</td>
<td>GK18</td>
<td>H. sapiens</td>
<td>YP_001129422</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rhadinovirus</strong></td>
<td>Ateline herpesvirus 3 (AtHV-3); herpesvirus atelines (HVA)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Ateles spp, (Spider monkey)</td>
<td>NP_048036</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Macavirus</strong></td>
<td>Alcelaphine herpesvirus 1 (AlHV-1); malignant catarrhal fever virus (MCFV)</td>
<td>C500</td>
<td>Connochaetes taurinus (Wildebeest)</td>
<td>NP_065564</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Macavirus</strong></td>
<td>Ovine herpesvirus 2 (OvHV-2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ovis aries (Sheep)</td>
<td>ABB22284</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and random coils (36.9%), whereas a β-strand accounted for the least prevalent component (4.0%). The 3D structure prediction revealed no 3D structure model for BFRF3. Therefore, the principal component of the α-helix of BFRF3, which contains many highly conserved residues, might be engaged in its self-association or in interactions with other nucleocapsid components (Benach J, et al., 2007; Ohta A, et al., 2011), tegument or host proteins (Uesugi M, et al., 1997).

In conclusion, we present the results of cloning, expression, and molecular characterization of the EBV BFRF3 gene. Elucidating the relationship between the molecular characterization and genetic evolution of EBV BFRF3 will provide insights for further research on the function and mechanism of BFRF3 during EBV infection. Such studies will contribute to our understanding of this virus at the molecular level, as well as enrich the herpesvirus database.
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